COLUMBIA

VAGELOS COLLEGE OF Physicians & Surgeons

PROGRAM FOR EDUCATION IN GLOBAL AND POPULATION HEALTH

Assessment of Differential Uranium Exposure and its Association with Hypertension and Elevated Blood Pressure in American Indian **Communities in the Strong Heart Family Study**

Abby Onderwyzer Gold – Columbia University, VP&S, Class of 2024 Kevin Patterson, MPH; Miranda Spratlen, MS, PhD; Anne Nigra, ScM, PhD; Ana Navas-Acien, MD, PhD

Research Question: Is uranium exposure associated with increased blood pressure and incident hypertension in American Indian communities in the Strong Heart Family Study?

BACKGROUND

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death among American Indians (AI) and hypertension (HTN) is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Al populations in the Strong Heart Study have also been found to have increased levels of urinary uranium (U) compared to the general U.S. population.¹ This is likely due to elevated levels of U in groundwater and drinking water, which is exacerbated by a long history of U mining on tribal land, lack of access to regulated water sources,² and fertilizer usage.³ Other metals have been shown to be associated with elevated blood pressure (BP) and HTN incidence,^{4,5} and U has several toxic health effects such as nephrotoxicity. Little is known about the effects of U on HTN and BP, especially within AI communities who face a large burden of both.

TABLES AND FIGURES



Figure 1. Uranium locations.⁶



fference in systolic and diastolic BP per log urinary U (ug/g creatinine). Solid isk; shaded area = 95% CI. RR was calculated using restricted cubic splines for U with knots at the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles.

Table 1. Risk ratios (95% confidence intervals) for incident hypertension at follow-up.

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	RR	95% CI	RR	95% CI	RR	95% CI
Uranium Quartiles (cases/non	cases)					
1 (52/312)	1.00	Referent	1.00	Referent	1.00	Referent
2 (66/298)	1.26	0.93, 1.71	1.28	0.94, 1.73	1.30	0.96, 1.78
3 (66/298)	1.26	0.91, 1.74	1.28	0.93, 1.77	1.32	0.95, 1.83
4 (69/295)	1.33	0.96, 1.85	1.38	0.99, 1.91	1.44	1.04, 1.99
IQR (253/1203)	1.11	0.96, 1.28	1.13	0.97, 1.31	1.14	0.99, 1.33

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
Log Uranium	-0.52	-1.06, 0.01	-0.54	-1.08, -0.00	-0.37	-0.91, 0.16
Uranium Quarti	les					
1	1.00	Referent	1.00	Referent	1.00	Referent
2	0.02	-1.76, 1.80	0.02	-1.78, 1.81	0.18	-1.62, 1.98
3	-0.29	-2.01, 1.43	-0.33	-2.05, 1.38	-0.02	-1.80, 1.76
4	-1.97	-3.81, -0.13	-2.02	-3.86, -0.18	-1.49	-3.34, 0.35
IQR	-0.02	-0.05, 0.00	-0.02	-0.05, -0.00	-0.02	-0.04, 0.01

Strong Heart Study



AZ

OK

The Strong Heart Study (SHS) is a communitybased participatory cohort study investigating cardiovascular disease in AIs in participating tribes in Arizona, Oklahoma, and North and South Dakota. The Strong Heart Family Study (SHFS) is an ancillary study assessing gene-environment interactions for incident diabetes.

Study Design



inine). Solid line = relative risk; shaded area 95% CI. RR was calculated using restricted cubic splines for U with knots at the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles

Table 2. Change in systolic blood pressure between baseline and follow-up.

METHODS

The study population included 1456 participants from the SHFS who did not have diabetes or hypertension at baseline, and who had complete data for BP, urinary U (ug/g creatinine), and relevant confounders. HTN was defined as systolic BP \geq 140 mmHg, diastolic BP \geq 90 mmHg, or taking HTN medication. We used generalized estimating equations with independence correlation structure conditional on family membership to estimate the association of U exposure with systolic BP and diastolic BP using linear regressions, and HTN incidence using Poisson regression with robust variance. Model 1 adjusted for age, sex, study center, and smoking status. Model 2 further adjusted for GFR, pre-diabetes status, and BMI. Model 3 further adjusted for logadjusted arsenic and cadmium.

- U is associated with increased risk of hypertension.
- U is associated with a decrease in systolic BP and has no statistically significant effect on diastolic BP.

DISCUSSION

There was an increased relative risk of incident hypertension comparing the highest to lowest urinary U quartiles. Systolic BP decreased at follow-up comparing the highest to lowest urinary U quartiles, but this association was attenuated when other metals were added into the model. These findings suggest a moderate increased risk for hypertension at chronic levels of uranium exposure typical of the Southwest and Great Plains in the US. This association of urinary U with hypertension is inconsistent with the decreased systolic BP levels at follow-up. Additional research is needed to disentangle these inconsistencies.

REFERENCES

¹Pang Y, Peng RD, Jones MR, et al. Metal mixtures in urban and rural populations in the US: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis and the Strong Heart Study. Environ *Res.* 2016;147:356-364. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.02.032 ²Redvers Nicole, Chischilly Ann Marie, Warne Donald, Pino Manuel, Lyon-Colbert Amber. Uranium Exposure in American Indian Communities: Health, Policy, and the Way Forward. Environmental Health Perspectives. 129(3):035002. doi:10.1289/EHP7537

³Nolan J, Weber KA. Natural Uranium Contamination in Major U.S. Aquifers Linked to Nitrate. *Environ Sci Technol Lett.* 2015;2(8):215-220. doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00174 ⁴Kaufman JA, Mattison C, Fretts AM, et al. Arsenic, blood pressure, and hypertension in the Strong Heart Family Study. Environ Res. 2021;195:110864. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.110864

⁵Oliver-Williams C, Howard AG, Navas-Acien A, Howard BV, Tellez-Plaza M, Franceschini N. Cadmium body burden, hypertension, and changes in blood pressure over time: results from a prospective cohort study in American Indians. Journal of the American Society of Hypertension. 2018;12(6):426-437.e9. doi:10.1016/j.jash.2018.03.002 ⁶Technical Report on Technologically Enhanced Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials from Uranium Mining: Investigation of Potential Health, Geographic, And Environmental Issues of Abandoned Uranium Mines. U.S. Environmental Protections Agency; 2007.

Acknowledgments: Thank you to the staff and participants of the SHS and SHFS, without whom this analysis would not be possible.